PROGRAMME · INAUGURAL ROUNDTABLE

Investment Security × *Investment Arbitration*

10 JUNE 2026 · LONDON · BY INVITATION · CHATHAM HOUSE RULE

Hosted by the CELIS Institute *in collaboration with* Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP.
Convened by Steffen Hindelang — *CELIS Institute; Uppsala University* · Joel Dahlquist — *Arnold & Porter.*

VENUE

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP — London Office
Tower 42, 25 Old Broad St, London EC2N 1HN, United Kingdom

ROUNDTABLE

Investment Screening & *Investment Arbitration*

13.30 — 14.00 BST

Arrival & Registration

14.00 — 14.10 BST

WELCOME REMARKS

Opening of the Roundtable

Steffen Hindelang — *Professor of International Investment and Trade Law, Uppsala University; Executive Director, CELIS Institute, Berlin*
Joel Dahlquist — *International Arbitration Advisor, Arnold & Porter*

14.15 — 15.45 BST

SESSION I

Investment Screening & Investment Arbitration: Emerging Tensions

Moderator — Joel Dahlquist (Arnold & Porter)

QUESTION 1

How do different forms of investment screening — pre-entry, expansion, and retrospective — fall within the scope of investment treaty protection and potential claims?

Opening reflections by Maria Pohjanpalo – *Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Finland.*

QUESTION 2

How should security-driven regulatory measures be assessed under fair and equitable treatment, indirect expropriation, and non-discrimination standards?

Opening reflections by Federico Ortino — *King's College London.*

QUESTION 3

What is the role of national security exceptions and public order justifications in the assessment of investment screening measures under international investment law?

Opening reflections by Catharine Titi — *French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS-CERSA).*

15.45 — 16.30 BST

Coffee Break

16.30 — 18.00 BST

SESSION II

Investment Screening & Investment Arbitration: The Road Ahead

Moderator — Steffen Hindelang (Uppsala University; CELIS Institute)

QUESTION 4

Will the expansion of security-driven investment regulation lead to more investor-state disputes involving screening measures?

Opening reflections by Veronika Korom — *ESSEC Business School / PARAGON Advocacy.*

QUESTION 5

How can investment screening decisions be designed and implemented in a way that preserves regulatory autonomy while minimising exposure to investment treaty disputes?

Opening reflections by Jonas Hallberg — *Trade Policy Adviser, Sweden.*

QUESTION 6

How does the emerging practice of outbound investment screening interact with international investment agreements?

Opening reflections by Xueji Su — *University of Macau.*

FROM 18.00 BST

CLOSING

Reception & Continued Conversation

Drinks and informal exchange among guests at the Arnold & Porter UK office.

A NOTE ON FORMAT

Discussions are held under the Chatham House Rule. The roundtable is closed-door and by invitation only. Participants are warmly invited to reflect on the six guiding questions in advance so that the exchange can be candid, focused, and forward-looking.

The roundtable forms part of the broader *CELIS – Arnold & Porter Initiative on Investment Security × Investment Arbitration*, which launches with a blog series tracing the legal interface of investment security and investment arbitration across the full arc of a typical screening process.